

# West Virginia Foster Care Ombudsman Program State Fiscal Year 2023 ~ 1st Quarter Report July 1, 2022 - September 30. 2022





# **Foster Care Ombudsman Quarterly Report**

Reporting Period: First Quarter - July 1, 2022 to September 30, 2022

Voices Heard. People Empowered. Systems Informed.

# **Introduction**

The Foster Care Ombudsman (FCO), located within the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources (DHHR), Office of Inspector General, receives, investigates, and resolves complaints filed on behalf of foster children, foster parents, kinship parents, and on the Foster Care Ombudsman's own initiative. This quarterly report provides summary data relating to complaints pursuant to the requirements of W. Va. Code §9-5-27, §49-9-102, §49-2-126, and §49-2-127.

#### Mission:

The Foster Care Ombudsman is an independent, impartial, and confidential resource that advocates for the rights of foster children and foster/kinship parents, investigates and resolves complaints, and makes recommendations for systemic reform.

#### Vision:

The Foster Care Ombudsman envisions an empathic, healing, and accountable child welfare system where voices are heard, people are empowered, and systems are responsive.

Data in the quarterly report does not yet include systemic reviews/cases or those that are conducted on the FCO's initiative. Information detailing this work product will be integrated into future reports. Detailed "drill down" complaint data by county is available to DHHR's Bureau of Social Services (BSS).

#### **Complaint Count by Month and Ouarter**

Data below reflects complaints received by month and quarter within the first state fiscal year (SFY) 2023. Complaint data in this report, unless otherwise specified, is presented to reflect complaints that were in a <u>closed/concluded</u> status as of September 30, 2022.

Complaint Count by Month and Quarter													
	2022				2023						State		
SFY 2023	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Fiscal
3F1 2023	62	57	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Year End Running
	Quarter 1 Qua		Quarte	ter 2 Quarter 3			· 3	Quarter 4			Total		
Total		179		0		0			0			179	

### **Complaint Count by Alleged Bill of Rights Violations**

Data below reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY when a Bill of Rights provision is alleged to be violated. The count reflects the BSS region by case county and the FCO determination of complaint validity. Complaints that include an alleged Bill of Rights violation account for 40% of the total complaints received in the first quarter.

Complaint Count by Alleged Bill of Rights Violations								
Bill of Rights	North	South	Unspecified	Total	% Validated			
Child BOR	24	16	2	42	19.0%			
Both BOR	15	13	1	29	58.6%			
Foster/Kinship BOR	7	7	1	15	93.3%			
Total	46	36	4	86	45.3%			

# **Complaint Count by BSS Unit**

Data below reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY according to the BSS unit that is most closely associated with a complaint's main theme. The federal Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children governs the placement of children in other states. The Institutional Investigative Unit within BSS is

Complaint Count by Bureau for Social Services Unit									
Bureau for Social Services Unit	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Percent			
Child Protective Services	133	0	0	0	133	74.3%			
Homefinding	11	0	0	0	11	6.1%			
Other	10	0	0	0	10	5.6%			
Post-Adoption	10	0	0	0	10	5.6%			
Adoption	5	0	0	0	5	2.8%			
Centralized Intake (Abuse & Neglect Hotline)	4	0	0	0	4	2.2%			
Youth Services	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%			
Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%			
Institutional Investigative Unit	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%			
Legal Guardianship	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%			
Total	179	0	0	0	179				
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%			

responsible for child abuse and neglect investigations in residential facilities, schools, and foster homes.

# **Complaint Count by Main Complaint Theme**

Data below reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY according to the <u>main</u> theme of the complaint as expressed to the FCO. Additional theme levels and greater specificity regarding the topical content of complaints will be provided in future FCO reports.

	Complaint	Count by	/ Main Co	mplaint <sup>-</sup>	Theme		
Main Complaint Theme	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Percent	Percent Valid
Placement of Children	39	0	0	0	39	21.8%	15.4%
Action/Inaction of Agency/Employee	37	0	0	0	37	20.7%	24.3%
Lack of Communication	36	0	0	0	36	20.1%	38.9%
Financial Issue/Reimbursement	23	0	0	0	23	12.8%	60.9%
Decision of Agency/Employee	12	0	0	0	12	6.7%	33.3%
Policy/Regulation Issue	12	0	0	0	12	6.7%	8.3%
Removal of Children	10	0	0	0	10	5.6%	10.0%
Rude/Unfair Treatment	9	0	0	0	9	5.0%	0.0%
Other	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%	0.0%
Threat/Retaliatory Treatment	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	N/A
Total	179	0	0	0	179		Total % Validated
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%	27.4%

# **Complaint Count by Complainant Relationship to Child**

Data below reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY according to the relationship of the complainant to the child.

Complaint Cou	nt by Con	nplainant	Relation	ship to Ch	nild	
Relationship to Child	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Percent
Relative/Kinship Parent	65	0	0	0	65	36.3%
Non-Relative Foster Parent	30	0	0	0	30	16.8%
Other Non-Caregiving Relative/Kinship	29	0	0	0	29	16.2%
Birth Parent	20	0	0	0	20	11.2%
Other or Unspecified	12	0	0	0	12	6.7%
Adoptive Parent	8	0	0	0	8	4.5%
Community Professional/Service Provider	6	0	0	0	6	3.4%
State Employee/Specific Unit	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Legal Guardian	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Child	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Child's Attorney/GAL	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Other Attorney	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Birth Parent's Attorney	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Total	179	0	0	0	179	
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%

#### **Complaint Count by FCO Case Intensity**

Data below reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY according to the level of assistance provided by the FCO. A case may be withdrawn by a complainant if the issue naturally resolves or the complainant decides not to move forward with the complaint.

- *Intervention* involves routine case documentation review, interaction by the FCO with four or fewer contacts inside or outside of DHHR, facilitating communications between the complainant and other case participants and authorities, as well as providing education, context, and referrals to other entities, people, or resources. Cases of this intensity average 26 days from initial contact with the FCO to case closure by the FCO, according to cumulative data since program inception in late 2019.
- *Information* involves providing education, context, and referrals to other entities, people, or resources. Cases of this intensity average 12 days from initial contact with the FCO to case closure by the FCO, according to cumulative data since program inception in late 2019.
- *Investigation* involves non-routine, systemic, or more intensive/on-site review, interaction with five or more contacts inside or outside of DHHR, and may necessitate formal meetings/reports involving internal or external agency leadership. Cases of this intensity average 70 days from initial contact with the FCO to case closure by the FCO, according to cumulative data since program inception in late 2019.

Complaint Count by FCO Case Intensity								
FCO Case Intensity	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Percent		
Intervention	110	0	0	0	110	61.5%		
Information	65	0	0	0	65	36.3%		
Withdrawn by Complainant	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%		
Investigation	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%		
Total	179	0	0	0	179			
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%		

# Complaint Count by Referral Source

Data to the right reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY according to the referral source, i.e., the means by which the complainant learned about the FCO.

Complaint Count by Refe	Complaint Count by Referral Source									
Referral Source	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Percent				
Prior Contact with FCO	38	0	0	0	38	21.2%				
Friend/Family	31	0	0	0	31	17.3%				
State Employee	28	0	0	0	28	15.6%				
Community Professional/Service Provider	27	0	0	0	27	15.1%				
Conference/Training	18	0	0	0	18	10.1%				
Other	12	0	0	0	12	6.7%				
Social Media/Internet	6	0	0	0	6	3.4%				
Attorney/GAL	6	0	0	0	6	3.4%				
Elected Official	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%				
Court Appointed Special Advocate	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%				
Unspecified	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%				
FCO Website	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%				
Media (TV, Brochures, Pamphlets, Other Literature)	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%				
Teacher/School Employee	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%				
Email	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%				
Initiated by FCO	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%				
Total	179	0	0	0	179					
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%				

# **Complaint Count by Closure**

Data below reflects complaints according to characterics of the case closure. The FCO continues to examine and label complaint closings with greater specificity. In nearly all cases, complaints determined valid are with the concurrence of and notification to the involved agency, which may or may not be BSS.

Complaint Count by C	Complaint Count by Closure									
Closure	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Percent				
Not Valid	65	0	0	0	65	36.3%				
Information Exchange	59	0	0	0	59	33.0%				
Valid - Resolved	29	0	0	0	29	16.2%				
Partially Valid	13	0	0	0	13	7.3%				
Valid - Not Resolved	7	0	0	0	7	3.9%				
Withdrawn by Complainant	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%				
Insufficient Information to Rate Validity	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%				
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%				
Declined by FCO	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%				
Total	179	0	0	0	179					
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%				
		Va	lidity %	_		27.4%				

# **Complaint Count by BSS Region**

Data to the right reflects complaints received by BSS region in the first quarter of the SFY. Complaints were grouped into four BSS regions then grouped into two regions (North and South) after a BSS organizational redesign.

Complaint Count by Bureau for Social Services Region									
Region	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Totals	Percent			
North	89	0	0	0	89	49.7%			
South	81	0	0	0	81	45.3%			
Unspecified	9	0	0	0	9	5.0%			
Total	179	0	0	0	179				
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%			

# **Complaint Count by Method of Initial Contact with FCO**

Data below reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY according to the means by which the complainant made initial contact with the FCO.

Complaint Count by Method of Initial Contact with FCO									
Method of Contact	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	Percent			
Telephone	129	0	0	0	129	72.1%			
Email	35	0	0	0	35	19.6%			
Request for Assistance Online Form	9	0	0	0	9	5.0%			
Fax/Letter/Text/Other	4	0	0	0	4	2.2%			
In Person	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%			
Total	179	0	0	0	179				
	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%			

## **Complaint Count by BSS Case County**

Data to the right reflects complaints received in the first quarter of the SFY according to the BSS case county. Complaints are geographically determined by the county of the BSS case. When reviewing complaint totals by county, it is important to consider that each county exhibits differences, including population, demographics, and number of child abuse and neglect petitions filed. Additionally, the likelihood of a complaint conveyed to the FCO may relate to the general awareness, geographically, of the FCO program.

#### **Conclusion**

The FCO is committed to providing a helping service and endorsing that the voices of those served and affected by West Virginia's foster care system are valued, respected, and actively integrated into the system's development and improvement.

For more information about the Foster Care Ombudsman unit, email <a href="mailto:FosterCareOmbudsman@wv.gov">FosterCareOmbudsman@wv.gov</a>.

Complaint C	ount by P	uroau fo	r Social	Sorvice	c Caca	County
County	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Percent
Barbour	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Berkeley	4	0	0	0	4	2.2%
Boone	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Braxton	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Brooke	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Cabell	8	0	0	0	8	4.5%
Calhoun	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Clay	5	0	0	0	5	2.8%
, Doddridge	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Fayette	7	0	0	0	7	3.9%
Gilmer	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Grant	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Greenbrier	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Hampshire	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Hancock	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Hardy	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Harrison	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Jackson	8	0	0	0	8	4.5%
Jefferson	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Kanawha	17	0	0	0	17	9.5%
Lewis	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Lincoln	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Logan	5	0	0	0	5	2.8%
Marion	6	0	0	0	6	3.4%
Marshall	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Mason	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
McDowell	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Mercer	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Mingo	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Monongalia	5	0	0	0	5	2.8%
Monroe	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Nicholas	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Ohio	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Pendleton	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Pleasants	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Pocahontas	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Preston	5	0	0	0	5	2.8%
Putnam	4	0	0	0	4	2.2%
Raleigh	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Randolph	6	0	0	0	6	3.4%
Ritchie	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Roane	2	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Summers	3 5	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Taylor	2	0	0	0	5	2.8%
Tucker	0	0	0	0	2	1.1%
Tyler		0	0	0	0	0.0%
Upshur	1	0	0	0	1	0.6%
Wayne	3	0	0	0	3	1.7%
Webster Wetzel	2	0	0	0	3	1.7% 1.1%
Wirt	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Wood	19	0	0	0	19	10.6%
Wyoming	19	0	0	0	19	0.6%
Unspecified	9	0	0	0	9	5.0%
Total	179	0	0	0	179	3.070
iotai	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1/3	100.0%
	100.070	0.070	0.070	0.070		100.070