NOVEMBER 16

TENTATIVE AGENDA

LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

Sunday, November 16, 2003

6 p.m. to 8 p.m.

Senate Finance Committee Room, M-451

- 1. Approval of Minutes October 21, 2003
- 2. Review of Legislative Rules:
 - a. Department of Agriculture
 Frozen Desserts and Imitation Frozen Desserts, 61CSR4B
 - b. Department of Agriculture

 Dairy Products and Imitation Dairy Products, 61CSR4C
 - c. Department of Agriculture
 West Virginia Seed Law, 61CSR9
 - d. State Auditor's Office

 Transaction Fee and Rate Structure, 155CSR4
 - e. Division of Labor

 Psychophysiological Detection of Deception Examinations,
 Limitations of Use, Requirements, Licenses and Penalties,
 42CSR6
 - f. State Board of Landscape Architects
 Rule of the Board, 9CSR1
 - g. Environmental Quality Board
 Requirements Governing Water Quality Standards, 46CSR1
 - h. DEP-Division of Water Resources

 WV/NPDES Rules for Coal Mining Facilities, 47CSR30
 - i. DEP-Division of Waste Management
 Solid Waste Management Rule, 33CSR1
 - j. DEP-Division of Waste Management
 Hazardous Waste Management, 33CSR20

1000

k. Catastrophic Illness Commission Eligibility Standards for Economic Assistance from the James "Tiger" Morton Catastrophic Illness Fund, 72CSR1

3. Other Business

бр.m. to 8 p.m.

Legislative Rule-Making
Review Committee
(Code §29A-3-10)

Earl Ray Tomblin ex officio nonvoting member

Robert "Bob" Kiss ex officio nonvoting member

Senate

House

Ross, Chairman

Minard, Vice Chairman

Snyder

Unger

Boley Minear Mahan, Chairman

Thompson, Vice Chairman

Cann

Kominar Armstead

Faircloth

Absent

Absent

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Ross, Co-Chairman.

The minutes of the October 21, 2003, meetings were approved.

Debra Graham, Committee Counsel, explained that the rule proposed by the *Department of Agriculture-West Virginia Seed Law*, 61CSR9, had been laid over from the Committee's October 21, 2003, meeting. Steve Hannah, Deputy Commissioner of the Department, addressed the Committee, responded to questions and explained modifications proposed by the Department. Bob Williams, Executive Secretary for the West Virginia Farm Bureau, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that the Department's proposed modifications be approved. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted. Ms. Minear and Mr. Armstead voted "No".

Ms. Mahan moved that the rule proposed by the State Auditor's Office-Transaction Fee and Rate Structure, 155CSR4, be moved to the foot of the agenda. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the Division of Labor-Psychophysiological Detection of Deception

Examinations, Limitations of Use, Requirements, Licenses and Penalties, 42CSR6, and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications. Andy Brown, Assistant to the Commissioner of Labor, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Having voted on the prevailing side, Ms. Mahan moved that the Committee reconsider its action whereby the rule proposed by the Division of Labor-Psychophysiological Detection of Deception Examinations, Limitations of Use, Requirements, Licenses and Penalties, 42CSR6, was approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be laid over. The motion was adopted.

Connie Bowling, Associate Counsel, explained that the rule proposed by the State Board of Landscape Architects-Rule of the Board, 9CSR1, had been laid over from the Committee's October 21, 2003, meeting. Roger Kennedy, member of the Board, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Boley moved to modify subsections 3.5 and 3.6 of the proposed rule by restoring the 14 day notice of regular and special Board meetings. The motion was adopted.

Mr. Minard moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Joseph Altizer, Associate Counsel, reviewed his abstract on the rule proposed by the *DEP-Division of Water Resources-WV/NPDES Rules for Coal Mining Facilities*, 47CSR30, and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Rita Pauley, Associate Counsel, explained the rule proposed by the *DEP-Division of Waste Management-Solid Waste Management Rule*, 33CSR1, responded to questions and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Pauley reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the **DEP-Division of Waste Management-Hazardous Waste Management,** 33CSR20, responded to questions and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications.

Mr. Kominar moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham explained the rule proposed by the Catastrophic Illness Commission-Eligibility Standards for Economic Assistance from the James "Tiger" Morton Catastrophic Illness Fund, 72CSR1, and stated that the Commission has agreed to technical modifications. She; John Law, Assistant Secretary of the DHHR; and Regina Hupp, Director of the Commission; responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

The meeting was adjourned.

	Sunday, November 16, 2003		
	6:00 - 8:00 p.m.	Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee	
	Earl Ray Tomblin, ex officio nonvoting member	Robert S. Kiss, ex officio nonvoting member	
	Senate	House	-
·	Ross, Chair Minard, Vice Chair	Mahan, Chair Thompson, Rick, Vice Chair	•
	Snyder Unger	Cann Kominar	<u>.</u>
· · · · ·	Boley Minear	Armstead Faircloth	- -
			<i>.</i> -
······································		<u> </u>	٠.
	DOA - WV Seed Lo		
I explani	Steve Hungah	responded to concerns expressed	3
rele.	last months meeting		
	Bob Williams, F	Exec See WV Fam Busen	
	adopted mod reducing fee		
	adapted poroved as mod	Minear "Wo" Armistad "	
		· •	
Foot of		look at feed law.	
agorda>	Audito - Transaction	. Fee	-
	Dol - Lie D		
	Andy Grown - s	esponded to gis from C.	٠.
Mal	ran Approve as moot		
	uldraw mot	en de la composiçõe de la La composiçõe de la compo	

Landscape Architects Connic explaned

Roger : responded to questions minard sted Approved as mod

Buley 14 days instead of 7

DEP - NPDES Toe explained Mehan alphal Approve as mod

> DEP- Solid Waste Mgt Bith explained Approve as mod

Johan

DEP - Hazardoes Waste Mgt Rita explained Kominat Approve as mod

Catestrophie Flinces Commission John Law responded to questions Jeanic Helt - Dir of C responded to als Adoptal Approve as mod-

NOVEMBER INTERIM ATTENDANCE **Legislative Interim Meetings** November 16, 17 and 18, 2003

Sunday, November 16, 2003

6.00	- 8:00	n m

<u>ee</u>

6:00 - 8:00 p.m.	Legislative Rule-Making Review Committ	
Earl Ray Tomblin, ex officio nonvoting member Senate Ross, Chair	 Robert S. Kiss, ex officio nonvoting member House Mahan, Chair	
Minard, Vice Chair Snyder Unger Boley Minear	Thompson, Rick, Vice Chair Cann Kominar Armstead Faircloth	
	I certify that the attendance as noted above is correct. Staff Person	

Rule-Making Review Committee Terri Anderson

Please return to Brenda in Room 132-E or Fax to 347-4819.

TENTATIVE AGENDA

LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

Sunday, November 16, 2003

6 p.m. to 8 p.m.

Senate Finance Committee Room, M-451

- 1. Approval of Minutes October 21, 2003
- Review of Legislative Rules:
 - a. Department of Agriculture

 Frozen Desserts and Imitation Frozen Desserts, 61CSR4B
 - Technical Modifications
 - b. Department of Agriculture

 Dairy Products and Imitation Dairy Products, 61CSR4C
 - Technical Modifications

Approved so.

Department of Agriculture

modified West Virginia Seed Law, 61CSR9

- Laid over from October 21, 2003
- NO Technical Modifications

Foot of agorda

State Auditor's Office

Transaction Fee and Rate Structure, 155CSR4

- Laid over from October 21, 2003
- NO Technical Modifications

Laid

Division of Labor

Psychophysiological Detection of Deception Examinations, Limitations of Use, Requirements, Licenses and Penalties, 42CSR6

Technical Modifications

Approved 1.

State Board of Landscape Architects

Rule of the Board, 9CSR1

- Laid over from October 21, 2003
- Technical Modifications

- g. Environmental Quality Board
 Requirements Governing Water Quality Standards, 46CSR1
 - Laid over from October 21, 2003
 - NO Technical Modifications

Approved M. as modified

DEP-Division of Water Resources

WV/NPDES Rules for Coal Mining Facilities, 47CSR30

Technical Modifications

Approved it.

DEP-Division of Waste Management Solid Waste Management Rule, 33CSR1

- Technical Modifications
- j. DEP-Division of Waste Management Hazardous Waste Management, 33CSR20
 - Technical Modifications

X.

Catastrophic Illness Commission

Eligibility Standards for Economic Assistance from the James "Tiger" Morton Catastrophic Illness Fund, 72CSR1

- Technical Modifications
- Other Business

32/



State of West Virginia
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Gus R. Douglass, Commissioner

Janet L. Fisher Deputy Commissioner

Steve Hannah Deputy Commissioner

Appendix Number 5 Testing Fees

	Charges for Germination			ges for urity
	Current	Proposed	Current	Proposed
All vegetables	\$2.25	\$10.00		•••
Bentgrasses, redtop, bluegrasses, brome grasses, orchard grass, tall oat grass, fescues, rye grasses and all other chaffy grasses	5.00	15.00	6.00	15.00
Alfalfa, clover, millets, rape, sudan grasses, soybeans, sorghums, timothy, vetches, all cereal grains and all other seeds not listed	3.00	10.00	3,00	10.00
Mixtures: Lawn, pasture, etc. *per each ingredient	3.00	15.00	10.00	20.00*
Noxious weed examination	3.50	20.00		
Tree and shrub seed	3.00	20.00		<u> </u>
Flower seed	3.00	20.00	-	<u> </u>

Proportionate charges will be made for tests and other seed determinations not listed in this Appendix.

Appendix Number 6 Registration and Poundage Fees

		Current	Proposed
(1)	Registration of Seedsman	\$2.00	\$125.00 per Seedsman
(2)	Packet Display Stamps	\$2.00 each	\$10.00 each
(3)	Poundage Fee for Forest and Forage Seeds	\$0.20 per 100 weight	\$0.50 per 100 weight
(4)	Poundage Fee for Agriculture Crop Seeds	\$0.10 per 100 weight	\$0.50 per 100 weight
	Seed Potatoes	\$0.04 per 100 weight	\$0.35 per 100 weight

APPENDIX B

FISCAL NOTE FOR PROPOSED RULES

Rule Title	: West Virginis Seed Law			
Type of R	tule: X Legislative Interpretive Procedural			
Agency:	West Virginia Department of Agriculture			
Address:	1900 Kanawha Blvd., East			
	Charleston, WV 25305			
				

1. Effect of Proposed rule:

	ANNUAL		FISCAL YEA		R
	INCREASE	DECREASE	CURRENT	NEXT	THEREAFTER
ESTIMATED TOTAL COST	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PERSONAL SERVICES					
CURRENT EXPENSE					
REPAIRS & ALTERATIONS					
EQUIPMENT					
OTHER				<u></u>	

2. Explanation of Above Estimates:

The West Virginia Department of Agriculture currently administers the seed inspection program.

3. Objectives of These Rules:

Increase the fees to cover the cost of administering the program and be in line with the surrounding states fees.

R	ule T	itle: West Virginia Seed Law
4.	Exţ	
	A.	Economic Impact on State Government: Increase revenues to cover inspection, sampling and laboratory analysis by an estimated \$71,000.00 per year
	В.	Economic Impact on Political Subdivisions; Specific Industries; Specific Groups of Citizens: Increase fees per attached sheet

C. Economic Impact on Citizens/Public at Large.

None

Herma Johnson

From: wmciay@nccw.net

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 10:00 AM

To: Herma Johnson

Subject: Proposed Fee Increases / West Virginia Seed Rule

Dear Herma Johnson,

We have received information about fee increases for seed in West Virginia. The current fee of \$ 2.00 seems too small I agree, however the jump to \$ 125.00 is an increase of 62 times the current fee. We have only tobacco seed to sell, and West Virginia makes only a very tiny amount of sales for us due to the limited number of bases in your state.

I suppose we could price the seed at a higher wholesale price to our dealers and greenhouse people there, but then farmers would probably just go over into Kentucky to buy their seeds. I don't think that would benefit West Virginia!

Please consier a fee of \$ 10.00 for Registration of Seedsman or something like that. (\$10.00 is a 500 % increase - that seems like plenty)

Thank you for your time.

I am William David Wilson

Clay's Seed Inc

Carlisle Kentucky

November 5, 2003

Att: Herma Johnson
State of West Virginia Department of Agriculture
State Capitol
1900 Kanawha Blvd., East
Charleston, WV 25305

via email: HJohnson@ag.state.wv.us

Ref: Registration and Poundage Fees

Dear Ms. Johnson:

We would agree that the current registration fee of \$2.00 is too low, the proposed fee increase for the Registration of Seedsman from the current \$2.00 to \$125.00 is excessive. If our math is correct, this is an increase of 6250% which is somewhat over the cost of living, government budget increases, or any other reasonable measure we might compare. In the past 20 years, the retail price of our seed packets has increased from 75 cents to \$1.49 which is only a 100% increase.

We would suggest \$50.00 would be a more reasonable fee for the Registration of Seedsman.

West Virginia is one of the only states requiring Packet Display Stamps. We would suggest that this requirement be done away with entirely. \$25.00 is way too high for the average display. While a display in Home Depot might pay for the stamp, a display in a rural general store would become unprofitable if the \$25.00 was added to the cost of goods sold. This will cause many seed companies to stop shipping displays to these small stores, reducing the number of outlets offering packets to consumers.

Sincerely,

Charles H. Hart, President

Chuck Dransfield

From: Chuck Dransfield [CDransfield@ag.state.wv.us]

Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2003 8:39 AM

To: 'Jack Lee'

Subject: RE: Proposed Seedsman Increase

Mr. Lee,

Thank you for your response. My boss asked me yesterday if I'd received any correspondence, and I told her that I'd received three phone calls (you included), and asked all three to send written comments, but you are the first.

In all honesty, I think you are being registered as a seedsman inadvertently. Folks in your position only have to register as a seedsman only if the seed company you buy from is not registered, nor refuses to register. That is the way the law reads. Who do you buy from, and I can check to see if you are exempt?

Sincerely, Chuck Dransfield

-----Original Message-------

From: Jack Lee [mailto:jlee2@citynet.net]

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2003 11:03 PM

To: CDransfield@ag.state.wv.us

Subject: Proposed Seedsman Increase

Mr. Dransfield,

I spoke to you on the phone concerning the proposed hike to register as a Seedsman in the state of West Virginia. The Dept. of Agriculture's suggestion that the fee be raised from \$2.00 to \$125.00 and the fee of \$25.00 for Packet Displays is, in my opinion ludicrous and particularly unfair to small businesses in our small state. In our case, our store makes very little profit from selling seeds but it is a service that draws in more customers as a form of advertising. (We donate more of the packet seeds to school children than we sell). As you know, in this world of Super Walmarts (one of West Virginia's biggest employers) the small business has to find ways to diversify and meet customer needs, even if we can't match the prices of the Walmarts of the world. Isn't this the same mindset that has kept West Virginia one of the most overly taxed and under-populated states in the union?

If, the hike in Seedsman fees is inflated to such an outrageous amount, we will no longer be carrying seeds and force our customers to find them elsewhere, and therefore shop elsewhere. For example, they may choose stores across the river in Ohio, where the state of Ohio can collect that tax revenue. Do you realize the number of WV Residents that have to cross the Ohio River (or some other state boundary) to find needed items? Believe me, Mason County Citizen's have to shop in Gallia county, Ohio for most of their basic needs(we now have only one grocery store in the City of Point Pleasant). If you want to take your family to a restaurant, purchase clothing, go to a movie, etc..., etc..., etc..., you have to drive across a state line or drive 40+ miles to Huntington, Charleston or Parkersburg. This condition is not just limited to Mason County, but other WV Counties as well.

Please give the small businesses and the citizens' of West Virginia a break. Do something to attract Business, not drive it to other states.

Sincerely, Jackie E. Lee, Owner, Paint Plus, 511 Burdette St., Point Pleasant, WV, 25550, 304-675-4084

Herma Johnson

From: Crosman Seed Corp [crosman@mindspring.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2003 3:26 PM

To: HJohnson@ag.state.wv.us; CDransfield@ag.state.wv.us

Subject: Re: Proposed Increased Fees

Dear Herma & Charles:

This is in reply to your resent notice of proposed increases in registration & poundage fees.

We at Crosman Seed Corp have been supplying packet seed to some of your smaller retail stores for over 50 years. If the proposed increases are put into effect, we may be forced to discontinue supplying these stores. We are a small family owned business trying to stay alive supplying other small family owned business (retail) that are trying to compete with the "big boys". With the major increases that you are proposing, the fees would eat up any profits from your state.

Sincerely,

Justine A Mapstone Crosman Seed Corp. crosman@mindspring.com 585-586-1928

Herma Johnson

From:

Lance Bentley [Lance@bentleyseeds.com]

Sent:

Monday, October 27, 2003 12:30 PM

To:

Herma Johnson

Cc:

Sherry Galbraith

Subject: Fee increases

Dear Ms. Johnson,

I writing to you regarding the proposed changes to the West Virginia Seed Rule, Title 61, Series 9, and in particular Appendix 6 numbers 1 and 2.

- (1) Registration of Seedsman. While it is a substantial jump, I am not necessarily opposed to an increase in the annual fee as \$2.00 must not even cover the paperwork involved.
- (2) Packet Display Stamps. I am strongly opposed to the proposed increase to \$25.00 per display and feel that it is excessive. If this fee goes into effect, it would make your State far and away the most expensive for our company to do business in. Quite frankly, if you were to implement this increase, I would have to make a decision as to whether or not I would continue to do business in West Virginia. We are an outright sale packet company and our price per packet varies from five to a little over eight cents per packet, depending on the customer and which assortment they purchase. If I were to absorb the cost at \$25.00 per display, I would lose money on every sale. If I were to try to pass this cost on to the nurchaser, they will more than likely not buy a display. A 12 1/2 times increase in the stamp price, in some cases, represents the same amount as the purchase price of the display. It is for these reasons that I am opposed to this action and hope you will reconsider the increase.

Sincerely,

Lance Bentley
President, Bentley Seeds, Inc.



What You Should Know About Seeds

West Virginia Department of Agriculture Gus R. Douglass, Commissioner

Vegetable Seeds, in Packages Less Than Eight Ounces

Labels must contain the following information:

- Kind and variety of seed
- Seed lot number
- Calendar year in which the seed was packaged for use
- Name and address of the person who labeled the seed or who distributes the seed for sale within this state.

A stamp from the Commissioner of Agriculture must be attached to the front of each display unit of packet seeds.

Grass Seed, Agriculture Crop Seed, and Vegetable Seeds in Larger Packages Labels must contain the following information:

- Treated seed must be properly identified
- & Commonly accepted name and variety of the seed
- Lot number or other lot identification
- Origin of the seed if known
- Percentage by weight of pure seed of the kind and variety labeled
- Percentage by weight of other agricultural crop seed
- Percentage by weight of inert matter
- Percentage by weight of all weed seeds
- The name and number of noxious weed seed
- Percentage of germination exclusive of hard seed and the percentage of hard seed
- The calendar month and year that the seed was tested
- Name and address of the person who labeled the seed or who distributes the seed for sale within this state

Labeling requirements have been met if the seed is weighted from a properly labeled bulk container in the presence of the purchaser.

Once the dealer has broken the seal on a container of seed, he or she is fully responsible for its contents, guarantees, germination rate and integrity.

Germination dates are current for nine, (9) months following the test date on the label.

Seed Potatoes - Certified Seed Potatoes Only

Labels (Blue Certification Tags) must contain the following information:

- Name of person or agency certifying the seed potatoes
- Name of official government agency conducting the certification inspection
- Name and address or identification number of the grower of the seed potatoes

For further information refer to the West Virginia Seed Law (Chapter 19 Article 16) and to the Legislative Rule (Title 61, Series 9.) Copies may be obtained from:

West Virginia Department of Agriculture Regulatory Protection Division 1900 Kanawha Blvd., East Charleston, WV25305 (304) 558-2227 www.state.wv.us/agriculture

REGISTRATION OF PUBLIC

COMMITTEE MEETINGS WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE

Committee:_	/ egislative	Kule-Making Rei	viewDate ////	6,/03
	NAME	ADDRESS	REPRESENTING	Piesse check (X) if you desire to make a statement.
		•		

LS-C-66-la Revised 1-10-97

NOVEMBER 18

TENTATIVE AGENDA

LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

Tuesday, November 18, 2003

2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

House Finance Committee Room, M-464

1. Review of Legislative Rules:

- a. Board of Examiners of Land Surveyors

 Rules and Minimum Standards for the Practice of Land
 Surveying in West Virginia, 23CSR1
- b. West Virginia Manufactured Housing Construction Safety Standards Board West Virginia Manufactured Housing Construction Safety Standards Act, 42CSR19
- c. Division of Health Assisted Living Residences, 64CSR14
- d. Division of Health
 Statewide Trauma/Emergency Care System, 64CSR27
- e. Division of Health
 Childhood Lead Screening, 64CSR42
- f. Division of Health
 Fees for Services, 64CSR51
- g. Division of Health
 Residential Board and Care Homes, 64CSR65
- h. Division of Health
 Birth Defects Information System, 64CSR81
- i. State Fire Marshal Fees for Licenses, Permits, Inspections, Plans Review, and Other Services Rendered, 103CSR2
- j. Racing Commission Thoroughbred Racing, 178CSR1
- k. Racing Commission
 Greyhound Racing, 178CSR2

- 1. Insurance Commissioner
 Licensing and Conduct of Individual Insurance Producers,
 Agencies and Solicitors, 114CSR2
- m. Insurance Commissioner
 Surplus Lines Insurance, 114CSR20
- n. Insurance Commissioner
 Accident and Sickness Rate Filing, 114CSR26
- o. Insurance Commissioner
 Self-Insurance Pools for Political Subdivisions, 114CSR65
- p. Department of Administration Parking, 148CSR6
- 2. Other Business

2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

Legislative Rule-Making
Review Committee
(Code §29A-3-10)

Earl Ray Tomblin

Robert "Bob" Kiss

ex officio nonvoting member

ex officio nonvoting member

Senate

House

Ross, Chairman

Mahan, Chairman

Minard, Vice Chairman

Thompson, Vice Chairman

Snyder

Absent

Kominar

Cann

Unger Boley

Absent A

Minear

Armstead

Faircloth

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Ross, Co-Chairman.

Debra Graham, Committee Counsel, explained that the rule proposed by the Division of Labor-Psychophysiological Detection of Deception Examinations, Limitations of Use, Requirements, Licenses and Penalties, 42CSR6, had been laid over from the Committee's November 16, 2003, meeting.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the Department of Agriculture-Dairy Products and Imitation Dairy Products, 61CSR4C, and stated that the Department has agreed to technical modifications. Steve Hannah, Assistant to the Commissioner of Agriculture, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham explained the rule proposed by the **Department of Agriculture-Frozen Desserts and Imitation Frozen Desserts, 61CSR4B,** and stated that the Department has agreed to technical modifications. Steve Hannah, Assistant to the Commissioner, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved to modify subsection 5.3 of the proposed rule by reducing the manufacturer permit fee from \$50 to \$35. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Daniel Kimble, Associate Counsel, reviewed his abstract on the rule proposed by the Board of Examiners of Land Surveyors-Rules and Minimum Standards for the Practice of Land Surveying in West Virginia, 23CSR1. Greg Smith, Chairman of the Board, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved. The motion was adopted. Ms. Minear voted "No".

Ms. Graham explained that the rule proposed by the West Virginia Manufactured Housing Construction Safety Standards Board-West Virginia Manufactured Housing Construction Safety Standards Act, 42CSR9, had been laid over from the Committee's October 21, 2003, meeting. Andrew Brown, Assistant to the Commission; Karen Coria, representing the West Virginia Manufactured Housing Association; and Jack Albert, consumer representative of the Board; responded to questions from the Committee.

Mr. Faircloth moved to modify subsection 15.13 of the rule to specify that the monies may only be used for those purposes specified in Section 10 of the Code. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the Division of Health-Assisted Living Residences, 64CsR14, and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications. Rebecca Dunn, representing the Office of Health Facility Licensure and Certification, and Nancy Cartmill, Executive Director of the Assisted Living Association, responded to questions from the Committee.

Mr. Kominar moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham explained the rule proposed by the *Division of Health-Statewide Trauma/Emergency Care System, 64CSR27*, and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications. She and Dr. Bill Ramsey, of State Medical Emergency Services, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the Division of Health-Childhood Lead Screening, 64CSR42, and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham explained the rule proposed by the *Division of Health-Fees for Services, 64CSR51*, and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications. Ann Spaner, Director of the Public Health Regulatory Division, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved to modify the proposed rule be deleting language concerning raising fees according to the consumer price index. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted. Mr. Unger voted "No".

Ms. Graham reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the Division of Health-Residential Board and Care Homes, 64CSR65.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham explained the rule proposed by the *Division of Health-Birth Defects Information System, 64CSR81*, and stated that the Division has agreed to technical modifications. Kathy Cummings, with the Bureau for Public Health, and John Law, Assistant Commissioner of the DHHR, responded to questions from the Committee.

 $\,\,$ Mr. Cann moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Graham reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the State Fire Marshal-Fees for Licenses, Permits, Inspections, Plans Review, and Other Services Rendered, 103CSR2, and stated that the Fire Marshal has agreed to technical modifications. She and Sterling Lewis, State Fire Marshal, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved to modify the proposed rule by removing those fees which are not authorized by the statute. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Mr. Kimble explained the rule proposed by the *Department of Administration-Parking*, 148CSR6, and stated that the Department has agreed to technical modifications. Donna Prunty, Assistant to the Secretary, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be laid over until the Committee's December meeting. The motion was adopted.

Mr. Kimble reviewed his abstract on the rule proposed by the Racing Commission-Thoroughbred Racing, 178CSR1, and stated that the Commission has agreed to technical modifications. Jeffrey Blaydes, Attorney for the Commission, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved to modify the proposed rule regarding who may participate in the Jockey's Insurance and Benefits Program as suggested by Counsel. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Mr. Kimble explained the rule proposed by the Racing Commission-Greyhound Racing, 178CSR1.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved. The motion was adopted. Mr. Armstead voted "No".

Connie Bowling, Associate Counsel, reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the *Insurance Commissioner-Licensing and Conduct of Individual Insurance Producers*, Agencies and Solicitors, 114CSR2,

states that the Commission has agreed to technical modifications, and explained modifications proposed by the Insurance Commissioner.

Ms. Mahan moved that the Commission's proposed modifications be approved. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Bowling explained the rule proposed by the *Insurance Commissioner-Surplus Lines Insurance*, 114CSR20, and stated that the Commission has agreed to technical modifications.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Bowling reviewed her abstract on the rule proposed by the Insurance Commissioner-Accident and Sickness Rate Filing, 114CSR26, and stated that the Commission has agreed to technical modifications.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

Ms. Bowling explained the rule proposed by the *Insurance Commissioner-Self-Insurance Pools for Political Subdivisions*, 114CSR65. Mary Jane Pickens, General Counsel for the Commission, responded to questions from the Committee.

Ms. Mahan moved that the proposed rule be approved as modified. The motion was adopted.

The meeting was adjourned.

NOVEMBER INTERIM ATTENDANCE Legislative Interim Meetings November 16, 17 and 18, 2003

Tuesday, November 18, 2003

2:00 - 4:00 p.m.	Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee
Earl Ray Tomblin, ex officio nonvoting member	 Robert S. Kiss, ex officio nonvoting member
Senate Ross, Chair Minard, Vice Chair Snyder Unger Boley Minear	House Mahan, Chair Thompson, Rick, Vice Chair Cann Kominar Armstead Faircloth
	I certify that the attendance as noted above is correct.
	Staff Person

Rule-Making Review Committee Terri Anderson

Please return to Brenda in Room 132-E or Fax to 347-4819.

	Tuesday, November 18, 2003	
	2:00 - 4:00 p.m.	Legislative Rule-Making Review Committee
	Earl Ray Tomblin, ex officio nonvoting member	Robert S. Kiss, ex officio nonvoting member
,	Senate Ross, Chair Minard, Vice Chair Snyder	House Mahan, Chair Thompson, Rick, Vice Chair Cann
	Unger Boley Minear	Kominar Armstead Faircloth
	DOL- hie Deteo	to
i	I explained pad	we of rule
Muh	un Approve as mod	
	Agriculture - Da	ry Products
	Agriculture - Du I explained	
···· 20x	when Steve Hannah re	sponded to questions
App	rove as mod	
á	Japkel.	La companya de la companya di mandana di suma de la companya di suma di suma de la companya di suma di suma de
anaa.	and the second of the second o	The second secon
	Agriculture - Fr	rozen Dessets
,	I explained	
Maha	adopted modify fee t	tozen Dessets
	hun Apporave as mod	
	en en la companya de	
	hand Jurveyors	
	Arew Dan	- revenienced abstract
	Greg Smith, al	nairmon of Bd. responded to q's

Inger X Develop Form - Ant from Poss, costs of program

justify increases

Miners of the "No"

adopted WV Menuf Housing -Ano- I explained posture of rule Andy Braun responded to gis Karen Coria responded to quastions Just Albert, consume rep on Bd Fair oldle Modify rule to the it to section 10 Approve as moch Mahan Judopied Health - Assisted Living

Plebeasa ? responded to q's

Kominartal Approve as mod.

Nancy Cartmill Erea Dir of Ass. L Health - Trauma Case

Dr Bankey responded to q's

Mahan Approve as mod

adopted Approve as mod Ann Spaner responded to questions Muhan mydify renove consumer index

Muhan approve as mod Administration - Parting
Dan explained Laid Donna Phony responded to questions Racing Commission - Thoroughbred Dan explained Teff Blade, Attny responded to questions
Mahan Approve mod

Mahan Approve as mod

alopted

Approve as mod Placing Comm - Greyhound 2 Racing C vokal "No"

TENTATIVE AGENDA

LEGISLATIVE RULE-MAKING REVIEW COMMITTEE

Tuesday, November 18, 2003

2 p.m. to 4 p.m.

House Finance Committee Room, M-464

Review of Legislative Rules:

Approved in.

Board of Examiners of Land Surveyors

Rules and Minimum Standards for the Practice of Land Surveying in West Virginia, 23CSR1

- Laid over from October 21, 2003
- NO Technical Modifications

Approved us.

West Virginia Manufactured Housing Construction Safety Standards Board

West Virginia Manufactured Housing Construction Safety Standards Act. 42CSR19

- Laid over from October 21, 2003
- Technical Modifications

Approved be.

Division of Health

Assisted Living Residences, 64CSR14

• Technical Modifications

Approveds.

Division of Health

Statewide Trauma/Emergency Care System, 64CSR27

Technical Modifications

Approved Let

Division of Health

Childhood Lead Screening, 64CSR42

• Technical Modifications

Division of Health

Fees for Services, 64CSR51

Technical Modifications

Approved

Division of Health

Residential Board and Care Homes, 64CSR65

NO Technical Modifications

Approved in.

Division of Health

Birth Defects Information System, 64CSR81

• Technical Modifications

Approved to

State Fire Marshal

Fees for Licenses, Permits, Inspections, Plans Review, and Other Services Rendered, 103CSR2

Technical Modifications

Approved fred

Racing Commission

Thoroughbred Racing, 178CSR1

Technical Modifications

proxed &

Racing Commission

Greyhound Racing, 178CSR2

• NO Technical Modifications

Approved the

Insurance Commissioner

Licensing and Conduct of Individual Insurance Producers, Agencies and Solicitors, 114CSR2

Technical Modifications

Approved my

Insurance Commissioner

Surplus Lines Insurance, 114CSR20

• Technical Modifications

Approved wi;

Insurance Commissioner

Accident and Sickness Rate Filing, 114CSR26

• Technical Modifications

Angravede

Insurance Commissioner

Self-Insurance Pools for Political Subdivisions, 114CSR65

NO Technical Modifications

Laid VB.

Department of Administration Parking, 148CSR6

Technical Modifications

2. Other Business

Proposed amendment to 42 CSR 9, section 15.

(This should eliminate Rule Making counsel's objection to this subsection. All of 15.13 is new language, but the bold type is additional and is added for Rule Making's review and satisfaction).

15.13 The Board may utilize the earned interest of the Recovery Fund in accordance with section ten [WV Code §21-9-10] of this article for special enforcement efforts which are considered necessary to protect the public safety but are not normally contained within the Board's routine enforcement activity. Provided however, the Board may not utilize the earned interest of the Recovery Fund unless the principle balance of the fund is one million (\$1,000,000.00) dollars or more.