



District: 1 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	1
Population	18787
Deviation	850
% Deviation	4.74%
White	15877
% White	84.51%
Black	2163
% Black	11.51%
Other	56
% Other	0.3%

District: 2 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	2
Population	18707
Deviation	770
% Deviation	4.29%
White	15255
% White	81.55%
Black	2344
% Black	12.53%
Other	103
% Other	0.55%

District: 3 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	3
Population	18822
Deviation	885
% Deviation	4.93%
White	16701
% White	88.73%
Black	919
% Black	4.88%
Other	109
% Other	0.58%

District: 4 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	4
Population	18813
Deviation	876
% Deviation	4.88%
White	17405
% White	92.52%
Black	450
% Black	2.39%
Other	49
% Other	0.26%

District: 5 and Vicinity




District: 6 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	6
Population	17696
Deviation	-241
% Deviation	-1.34%
White	17068
% White	96.45%
Black	94
% Black	0.53%
Other	27
% Other	0.15%


District: 7 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	7
Population	18821
Deviation	884
% Deviation	4.93%
White	17678
% White	93.93%
Black	273
% Black	1.45%
Other	64
% Other	0.34%

District: 8 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	8
Population	18703
Deviation	766
% Deviation	4.27%
White	17651
% White	94.38%
Black	134
% Black	0.72%
Other	46
% Other	0.25%




District: 9 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	9
Population	18809
Deviation	872
% Deviation	4.86%
White	17454
% White	92.8%
Black	496
% Black	2.64%
Other	82
% Other	0.44%

District: 10 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	10
Population	17069
Deviation	-868
% Deviation	-4.84%
White	16585
% White	97.16%
Black	35
% Black	0.21%
Other	34
% Other	0.2%

District: 11 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	11
Population	18747
Deviation	810
% Deviation	4.52%
White	18082
% White	96.45%
Black	112
% Black	0.6%
Other	41
% Other	0.22%

District: 12 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	12
Population	18804
Deviation	867
% Deviation	4.83%
White	17629
% White	93.75%
Black	477
% Black	2.54%
Other	30
% Other	0.16%


District: 13 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	13
Population	18484
Deviation	547
% Deviation	3.05%
White	17573
% White	95.07%
Black	128
% Black	0.69%
Other	30
% Other	0.16%

District: 14 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	14
Population	18234
Deviation	297
% Deviation	1.66%
White	17014
% White	93.31%
Black	368
% Black	2.02%
Other	49
% Other	0.27%




District: 15 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	15
Population	18778
Deviation	841
% Deviation	4.69%
White	13378
% White	71.24%
Black	3556
% Black	18.94%
Other	185
% Other	0.99%

District: 16 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	16
Population	17456
Deviation	-481
% Deviation	-2.68%
White	14329
% White	82.09%
Black	1995
% Black	11.43%
Other	114
% Other	0.65%




District: 17 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	17
Population	17267
Deviation	-670
% Deviation	-3.74%
White	15194
% White	87.99%
Black	958
% Black	5.55%
Other	111
% Other	0.64%

District: 18 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	18
Population	17575
Deviation	-362
% Deviation	-2.02%
White	16594
% White	94.42%
Black	196
% Black	1.12%
Other	52
% Other	0.3%

District: 19 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	19
Population	17774
Deviation	-163
% Deviation	-0.91%
White	16477
% White	92.7%
Black	321
% Black	1.81%
Other	119
% Other	0.67%

District: 20 and Vicinity




District: 21 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	21
Population	17353
Deviation	-584
% Deviation	-3.26%
White	16662
% White	96.02%
Black	64
% Black	0.37%
Other	41
% Other	0.24%

District: 22 and Vicinity




District: 23 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	23
Population	17924
Deviation	-13
% Deviation	-0.07%
White	13638
% White	76.09%
Black	2499
% Black	13.94%
Other	196
% Other	1.09%

District: 24 and Vicinity




District: 25 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	25
Population	17147
Deviation	-790
% Deviation	-4.4%
White	14485
% White	84.48%
Black	1228
% Black	7.16%
Other	159
% Other	0.93%




District: 26 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	26
Population	17069
Deviation	-868
% Deviation	-4.84%
White	15808
% White	92.61%
Black	270
% Black	1.58%
Other	64
% Other	0.37%

District: 27 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	27
Population	17840
Deviation	-97
% Deviation	-0.54%
White	16968
% White	95.11%
Black	74
% Black	0.41%
Other	73
% Other	0.41%

District: 28 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	28
Population	18804
Deviation	867
% Deviation	4.83%
White	18173
% White	96.64%
Black	48
% Black	0.26%
Other	42
% Other	0.22%

District: 29 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	29
Population	18312
Deviation	375
% Deviation	2.09%
White	15893
% White	86.79%
Black	785
% Black	4.29%
Other	140
% Other	0.76%

District: 30 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	30
Population	18037
Deviation	100
% Deviation	0.56%
White	15402
% White	85.39%
Black	1354
% Black	7.51%
Other	124
% Other	0.69%

District: 31 and Vicinity




District: 32 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	32
Population	17063
Deviation	-874
% Deviation	-4.87%
White	14869
% White	87.14%
Black	888
% Black	5.2%
Other	125
% Other	0.73%

District: 33 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	33
Population	18709
Deviation	772
% Deviation	4.3%
White	16924
% White	90.46%
Black	763
% Black	4.08%
Other	80
% Other	0.43%

District: 34 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	34
Population	18825
Deviation	888
% Deviation	4.95%
White	15156
% White	80.51%
Black	1818
% Black	9.66%
Other	169
% Other	0.9%

District: 35 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	35
Population	18830
Deviation	893
% Deviation	4.98%
White	12049
% White	63.99%
Black	4818
% Black	25.59%
Other	236
% Other	1.25%

District: 36 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	36
Population	17401
Deviation	-536
% Deviation	-2.99%
White	15131
% White	86.95%
Black	1051
% Black	6.04%
Other	103
% Other	0.59%

District: 37 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	37
Population	18594
Deviation	657
% Deviation	3.66%
White	16259
% White	87.44%
Black	1091
% Black	5.87%
Other	131
% Other	0.7%

District: 38 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	38
Population	17631
Deviation	-306
% Deviation	-1.71%
White	16866
% White	95.66%
Black	66
% Black	0.37%
Other	54
% Other	0.31%

District: 39 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	39
Population	17080
Deviation	-857
% Deviation	-4.78%
White	16339
% White	95.66%
Black	35
% Black	0.2%
Other	33
% Other	0.19%

District: 40 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	40
Population	17925
Deviation	-12
% Deviation	-0.07%
White	17308
% White	96.56%
Black	48
% Black	0.27%
Other	36
% Other	0.2%

District: 41 and Vicinity




District: 42 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	42
Population	18811
Deviation	874
% Deviation	4.87%
White	17150
% White	91.17%
Black	319
% Black	1.7%
Other	133
% Other	0.71%


©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 43 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	43
Population	18821
Deviation	884
% Deviation	4.93%
White	17763
% White	94.38%
Black	149
% Black	0.79%
Other	126
% Other	0.67%

District: 44 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	44
Population	17429
Deviation	-508
% Deviation	-2.83%
White	16727
% White	95.97%
Black	125
% Black	0.72%
Other	19
% Other	0.11%

District: 45 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	45
Population	18103
Deviation	166
% Deviation	0.93%
White	17195
% White	94.98%
Black	121
% Black	0.67%
Other	85
% Other	0.47%

District: 46 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	46
Population	18735
Deviation	798
% Deviation	4.45%
White	17965
% White	95.89%
Black	54
% Black	0.29%
Other	72
% Other	0.38%

District: 47 and Vicinity




District: 48 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	48
Population	17076
Deviation	-861
% Deviation	-4.8%
White	16367
% White	95.85%
Black	28
% Black	0.16%
Other	4
% Other	0.02%

District: 49 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	49
Population	17059
Deviation	-878
% Deviation	-4.89%
White	15503
% White	90.88%
Black	985
% Black	5.77%
Other	33
% Other	0.19%

District: 50 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	50
Population	18043
Deviation	106
% Deviation	0.59%
White	17116
% White	94.86%
Black	70
% Black	0.39%
Other	54
% Other	0.3%

District: 51 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	51
Population	17961
Deviation	24
% Deviation	0.13%
White	16926
% White	94.24%
Black	206
% Black	1.15%
Other	74
% Other	0.41%

District: 52 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	52
Population	17977
Deviation	40
% Deviation	0.22%
White	16773
% White	93.3%
Black	330
% Black	1.84%
Other	102
% Other	0.57%

District: 53 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	53
Population	17071
Deviation	-866
% Deviation	-4.83%
White	16176
% White	94.76%
Black	131
% Black	0.77%
Other	61
% Other	0.36%

District: 54 and Vicinity




District: 55 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	55
Population	17055
Deviation	-882
% Deviation	-4.92%
White	16004
% White	93.84%
Black	170
% Black	1%
Other	101
% Other	0.59%

District: 56 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	56
Population	17129
Deviation	-808
% Deviation	-4.5%
White	15305
% White	89.35%
Black	461
% Black	2.69%
Other	96
% Other	0.56%




District: 57 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	57
Population	17145
Deviation	-792
% Deviation	-4.42%
White	15580
% White	90.87%
Black	225
% Black	1.31%
Other	110
% Other	0.64%

District: 58 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	58
Population	17073
Deviation	-864
% Deviation	-4.82%
White	16007
% White	93.76%
Black	130
% Black	0.76%
Other	61
% Other	0.36%

District: 59 and Vicinity




District: 60 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	60
Population	18566
Deviation	629
% Deviation	3.51%
White	17628
% White	94.95%
Black	183
% Black	0.99%
Other	61
% Other	0.33%

District: 61 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	61
Population	17773
Deviation	-164
% Deviation	-0.91%
White	16829
% White	94.69%
Black	100
% Black	0.56%
Other	62
% Other	0.35%

District: 62 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	62
Population	18804
Deviation	867
% Deviation	4.83%
White	17620
% White	93.7%
Black	282
% Black	1.5%
Other	47
% Other	0.25%

District: 63 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	63
Population	17738
Deviation	-199
% Deviation	-1.11%
White	16946
% White	95.54%
Black	106
% Black	0.6%
Other	77
% Other	0.43%

District: 64 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	64
Population	17294
Deviation	-643
% Deviation	-3.58%
White	15652
% White	90.51%
Black	481
% Black	2.78%
Other	337
% Other	1.95%

District: 65 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	65
Population	17069
Deviation	-868
% Deviation	-4.84%
White	16051
% White	94.04%
Black	258
% Black	1.51%
Other	64
% Other	0.37%

District: 66 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	66
Population	17367
Deviation	-570
% Deviation	-3.18%
White	15804
% White	91%
Black	514
% Black	2.96%
Other	86
% Other	0.5%

District: 67 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	67
Population	18753
Deviation	816
% Deviation	4.55%
White	17598
% White	93.84%
Black	163
% Black	0.87%
Other	117
% Other	0.62%

District: 68 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	68
Population	18774
Deviation	837
% Deviation	4.67%
White	17503
% White	93.23%
Black	153
% Black	0.81%
Other	108
% Other	0.58%

District: 69 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	69
Population	18382
Deviation	445
% Deviation	2.48%
White	16004
% White	87.06%
Black	677
% Black	3.68%
Other	276
% Other	1.5%

District: 70 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	70
Population	17646
Deviation	-291
% Deviation	-1.62%
White	14245
% White	80.73%
Black	1185
% Black	6.72%
Other	406
% Other	2.3%

District: 71 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	71
Population	17682
Deviation	-255
% Deviation	-1.42%
White	13241
% White	74.88%
Black	2084
% Black	11.79%
Other	486
% Other	2.75%

District: 72 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	72
Population	17455
Deviation	-482
% Deviation	-2.69%
White	14374
% White	82.35%
Black	1083
% Black	6.2%
Other	389
% Other	2.23%


District: 73 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	73
Population	17079
Deviation	-858
% Deviation	-4.78%
White	13198
% White	77.28%
Black	1798
% Black	10.53%
Other	372
% Other	2.18%

District: 74 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	74
Population	17300
Deviation	-637
% Deviation	-3.55%
White	13761
% White	79.54%
Black	1339
% Black	7.74%
Other	447
% Other	2.58%




District: 75 and Vicinity




District: 76 and Vicinity


Field	Value
District	76
Population	17155
Deviation	-782
% Deviation	-4.36%
White	13614
% White	79.36%
Black	1124
% Black	6.55%
Other	651
% Other	3.79%



District: 77 and Vicinity




District: 78 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	78
Population	17621
Deviation	-316
% Deviation	-1.76%
White	15239
% White	86.48%
Black	681
% Black	3.86%
Other	275
% Other	1.56%

District: 79 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	79
Population	17065
Deviation	-872
% Deviation	-4.86%
White	16005
% White	93.79%
Black	162
% Black	0.95%
Other	73
% Other	0.43%




District: 80 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	80
Population	17814
Deviation	-123
% Deviation	-0.69%
White	15727
% White	88.28%
Black	647
% Black	3.63%
Other	155
% Other	0.87%

District: 81 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	81
Population	17114
Deviation	-823
% Deviation	-4.59%
White	15456
% White	90.31%
Black	217
% Black	1.27%
Other	100
% Other	0.58%

District: 82 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	82
Population	17223
Deviation	-714
% Deviation	-3.98%
White	16206
% White	94.1%
Black	114
% Black	0.66%
Other	39
% Other	0.23%

District: 83 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	83
Population	18810
Deviation	873
% Deviation	4.87%
White	17397
% White	92.49%
Black	233
% Black	1.24%
Other	49
% Other	0.26%

District: 84 and Vicinity




District: 85 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	85
Population	18457
Deviation	520
% Deviation	2.9%
White	16920
% White	91.67%
Black	370
% Black	2%
Other	67
% Other	0.36%

District: 86 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	86
Population	17226
Deviation	-711
% Deviation	-3.96%
White	16003
% White	92.9%
Black	190
% Black	1.1%
Other	69
% Other	0.4%

District: 87 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	87
Population	18089
Deviation	152
% Deviation	0.85%
White	14577
% White	80.58%
Black	984
% Black	5.44%
Other	290
% Other	1.6%

District: 88 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	88
Population	17636
Deviation	-301
% Deviation	-1.68%
White	14662
% White	83.14%
Black	914
% Black	5.18%
Other	210
% Other	1.19%

District: 89 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	89
Population	17624
Deviation	-313
% Deviation	-1.74%
White	15757
% White	89.41%
Black	583
% Black	3.31%
Other	118
% Other	0.67%


District: 90 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	90
Population	18118
Deviation	181
% Deviation	1.01%
White	14817
% White	81.78%
Black	751
% Black	4.15%
Other	249
% Other	1.37%

District: 91 and Vicinity

Field	Value
District	91
Population	17376
Deviation	-561
% Deviation	-3.13%
White	14433
% White	83.06%
Black	738
% Black	4.25%
Other	221
% Other	1.27%




District: 92 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	92
Population	17143
Deviation	-794
% Deviation	-4.43%
White	16376
% White	95.53%
Black	66
% Black	0.38%
Other	40
% Other	0.23%

District: 93 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	93
Population	17073
Deviation	-864
% Deviation	-4.82%
White	14456
% White	84.67%
Black	1976
% Black	11.57%
Other	30
% Other	0.18%

District: 94 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	94
Population	18828
Deviation	891
% Deviation	4.97%
White	17802
% White	94.55%
Black	146
% Black	0.78%
Other	109
% Other	0.58%

District: 95 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	95
Population	18747
Deviation	810
% Deviation	4.52%
White	17597
% White	93.87%
Black	143
% Black	0.76%
Other	57
% Other	0.3%

District: 96 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	96
Population	18794
Deviation	857
% Deviation	4.78%
White	16024
% White	85.26%
Black	1193
% Black	6.35%
Other	158
% Other	0.84%

District: 97 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	97
Population	18830
Deviation	893
% Deviation	4.98%
White	17304
% White	91.9%
Black	361
% Black	1.92%
Other	88
% Other	0.47%

District: 98 and Vicinity




Field	Value
District	98
Population	18817
Deviation	880
% Deviation	4.91%
White	17487
% White	92.93%
Black	340
% Black	1.81%
Other	113
% Other	0.6%

District: 99 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	99
Population	18831
Deviation	894
% Deviation	4.98%
White	16854
% White	89.5%
Black	696
% Black	3.7%
Other	89
% Other	0.47%

District: 100 and Vicinity



Field	Value
District	100
Population	18807
Deviation	870
% Deviation	4.85%
White	17676
% White	93.99%
Black	142
% Black	0.76%
Other	67
% Other	0.36%